

VARIANCE ANALYSIS OF WOOL WOVEN FABRICS TENSILE STRENGTH USING ANCOVA MODEL

VÎLCU Adrian¹, HRISTIAN Liliana², BORDEIANU Demetra Lăcrămioara³

^{1, 2, 3}"Gh.Asachi" Technical University of Iasi, Romania, Faculty of Textile, Leather and Industrial Management, Prof. Dr. Doc. Dimitrie Mangeron Str., No. 29, 700050, Iasi, Romania

Corresponding author: Hristian Liliana, e-mail: hristianlili@yahoo.com

Abstract: The paper has conducted a study on the variation of tensile strength for four woven fabrics made from wool type yarns depending on fiber composition, warp and weft yarns tensile strength and technological density using ANCOVA regression model.

In instances where surveyed groups may have a known history of responding to questions differently, rather than using the traditional sharing method to address those differences, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) can be employed. ANCOVA shows the correlation between a dependent variable and the covariate independent variables and removes the variability from the dependent variable that can be accounted by the covariates.

The independent and dependent variable structures for Multiple Regression, factorial ANOVA and ANCOVA tests are similar. ANCOVA is differentiated from the other two in that it is used when the researcher wants to neutralize the effect of a continuous independent variable in the experiment.

The researcher may simply not be interested in the effect of a given independent variable when performing a study. Another situation where ANCOVA should be applied is when an independent variable has a strong correlation with the dependent variable, but does not interact with other independent variables in predicting the dependent variable's value. ANCOVA is used to neutralize the effect of the more powerful, non-interacting variable. Without this intervention measure, the effects of interacting independent variables can be clouded.

Keywords: ANCOVA model, fiber composition, tensile strength.

1. INTRODUCTION

Analysis of covariance models combines analysis of variance with techniques from regression analysis. With respect to the design, ANCOVA models explain the dependent variable by combining categorical (qualitative) independent variables with continuous (quantitative) variables. There are special extensions to ANCOVA calculations to estimate parameters for both categorical and continuous variables. ANCOVA models can, however, also be calculated using multiple regression analysis using a design matrix with a mix of dummy-coded qualitative and quantitative variables. In the latter approach, ANCOVA is considered as a special case of the General Linear Model (GLM) framework [1].

The study was conducted on woven materials made of combed wool type yarns used for manufacturing outwear clothing, on 63 articles structured as follows [2], [3]:

- Group A: 13 articles with Nm_{warp}=Nm_{weft}; 11 articles with Nm_{warp}≠Nm_{weft}. Total: 24 articles;

- Group B: 13 articles with Nm_{warp}=Nm_{weft}; 4 articles with Nm_{warp}≠Nm_{weft}.

Total: 17 articles;

- Group C: 4 articles with Nm_{warp}=Nm_{weft}; 5 articles with Nm_{warp}≠Nm_{weft}.

Total: 9 articles;

- Group D: 13 articles with Nm_{warp}=Nm_{weft}. Total: 13 articles

The variation limits of the composition and structural characteristics for the tested woven materials are indicated in Table 1.

Table 1:	Variation	limits of	compos	sition	and s	structural	charac	cteristics	
							1	「	

Group/Fibrous composition		Nm _{Warp}	Nm _{Weft}	I _{warp} Twist _{Warp} (twist/m)	I _{weft} Twist _{Weft} (twist/m)
Carry A 1000/W/s s1		40/2	24/1	530	410
010up A 100%w001	max	64/2	37/1	740	730
Group D 45% Wool +55% DES	min	48/2	30/1	600	510
Gloup B 45% wool +55% FES	max	64/2	64/2	780	740
$C_{\text{max}} = C 440/W_{\text{max}} + 520/\text{DES} + 20/\text{D}$		56/2	37/1	510	480
Gloup C 44 % W 001 + 55 % ES + 5 % D	max	60/2	60/2	730	730
$C_{rourn} D 600/ DES + 400/ Colo$	min	52/2	52/2	420	400
01000 D 00% FES + 40% Celo	max	64/2	64/2	500	500

The tensile testing was performed using an H 1K-S UTM Tinius Olsen (Hounsfield) testing machine, with a 1 kN load cell. The tests were done accordingly to standard (SR EN ISO 2062, 2002), on both directions – weft and warp [4], [5], [6].

2. EXPERIMENTAL PART

2.1. Collection, systematization and processing of experimental data

Based on the experimental data, the following variables were included in the ANCOVA regression model:

- dependent variable (Y) is tensile strength variance, Pr(daN);

- nominal independent variable is group of woven fabrics depending on fiber composition (100% Wool, 100% PES, 45% Wool + 55% PES, 45% Wool + 52% PES + 3% Dorlastan) – three dummy variables D_1 , D_2 respective D_3 ;

- quantitative independent variables (X1 respective X2) are warp yarns tensile strength P_{rwarp} (daN) and weft yarns technological density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm).

2.2. Hypothesis formulation

 H_0 : there are no significant differences between tensile strength values of woven fabrics depending of fiber composition, warp yarns tensile strength P_{warp} (N) and weft yarns technological density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm);

 H_1 : there are significant differences between tensile strength values of woven fabrics depending of fiber composition, warp yarns tensile strength P_{warp} (N) and weft yarns technological density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm), (H_0 is rejected).

2.3. Formulation of the regression model

Nominal independent variable "fiber composition" has four categories so will be three dummy variabels also called alternative variables. Reference woven fabrics (D_1, D_2, D_3) will be those from 100 % wool. Therefore, all the interpretation will be done compared to this group of woven fabrics. The dummy variables are defined in table 2.

Group	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	Fiber Composition
1	1	0	0	45% wool + 55% PES
2	0	1	0	45% wool+ 52% PES + 3% Dorlastan
3	0	0	1	100% PES
4	0	0	0	100% wool

Table 2: Definition of dummy variables

(1)

(2)

(4)

The ANCOVA model with three dummy variables is defined as relation:

 $Y = a_0 + a_1 D_1 + a_2 D_2 + a_3 D_3 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$

The regression, as a conditioned mean, has the following forms:

 $M (Y/D) = a_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 \quad D_1, D_2, D_3 = 0$

for tensile strength variance of 100% wool woven fabrics;

 $M(Y/D) = (a_0 + a_1) + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 D_1 = 1 D_2, D_3 = 0$ (3)

for variance of tensile strength of 45% Wool + 55% PES woven fabrics; $M(Y/D) = (a_0 + a_2) + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 \quad D_2 = 1 \quad D_1, D_3 = 0$

for tensile strength variance of 45% Wool + 52% PES + 3% Dorlastan woven fabrics;



ANNALS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA FASCICLE OF TEXTILES, LEATHERWORK

 $M (Y/D) = (a_0 + a_3) + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 \quad D_3 = 1 \quad D_1, D_2 = 0$ for tensile strength variance of 100% PES woven fabrics.
(5)

The coefficients of ANCOVA model defined in table 3 were determined for the established model. Estimators and model estimations are defined similar previous models.

М	odel	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	38,890	12,651		3,074	0,003
	D ₁	18,660	5,024	0,160	3,714	0,000
	D ₂	-17,705	4,697	-0,211	-3,769	0,000
	D ₃	73,901	10,835	0,673	6,820	0,000
	D _{weft}	2,414E-02	,070	0,044	0,344	0,732
	(yarns/10cm)					
	$Pr_{warp}(N)$	3,207	1,436	0,203	2,233	0,029

	Table 3:	ANCOVA	model -	coefficients
--	----------	--------	---------	--------------

a Dependent Variable: $Pr_{fabric}(daN)$

The estimations of model are: $a_0 = 38,890$; $a_1 = 18,660$; $a_2 = -17,705$; $a_3 = 73,901$; $b_1 = 0,024$; $b_2 = 3,207$

The estimated ANCOVA model has the following expression:

 $Y = 38,890 + 18,660 D_1 - 17,705 D_2 + 73,901 D_3 + 0,02414 X1 + 3,207 X2$

(6)

2.4. Model interpretation

The model interpretation is the following:

a) $a_0 = 38,890$ is the mean value estimate tensile strength of the fabrics from 100 % wool while the tensile strength of the warp yarns and the weft yarn density technology may be encoded to 0 (X1 = 0, X2 = 0).

b) $a_0 + a_1 = 38,890 + 18,660 = 57,55$ is the mean value estimate tensile strength of woven fabrics from 45 % wool + 55 % PES while the tensile strength of the warp yarns and the weft yarn density technology may be encoded to 0 (X1 = 0, X2 = 0).

c) $a_0 + a_2 = 38,890 - 17,705 = 21,185$ is the mean value estimate tensile strength of woven fabrics from 45 % wool + 52 % PES + 3 % Dorlastan while the tensile strength of the warp yarns and the weft yarn density technology may be encoded to 0 (X1 = 0, X2 = 0).

d) $a_0 + a_3 = 38,890 + 73,901 = 112,791$ is the mean value estimate tensile strength of woven fabrics from 100 % PES while the tensile strength of the warp yarns and the weft yarn density technology may be encoded to 0 (X1 = 0, X2 = 0).

e) b_1 and b_2 shows the tensile strength variance of fabrics type wool while while the tensile strength of the warp yarns and the weft yarn density technology increases by one unit.

It is observed from the table of coefficients that sig. is <0.05 (except sig. D_{weft} (yarns/10cm), the value being 0.732)

Note: Although the sig. D_{weft} (yarns/10cm) exceeds 0.05 (which shows that the weft yarn density does not significantly influence the dependent variable) was not excluded Backward processing method (see Table 4)

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Pr _{warp} (N), D1, D2, D3,	0,000	Enter
	Db(fire/10cm)		

Table 4: Variables Entered/Removed

a All requested variables entered.

b Dependent Variable: Pr_{fabric}(daN)

Interpreting the sig value can conclude that there are significant differences between the values of tensile fabric depending on fiber composition, tensile strength of warp yarns Pr_{warp} (N) (the hypothesis Ho it is rejected).

Technological density of weft yarns D_{weft} (yarns/10cm) does not significantly influence the variation of tensile strength of 100% wool woven fabrics.

-Ho: between the values of tensile fabric no significant differences based on fiber composition, tensile strength of warp yarns Pr_{warp} (N) and technological weft density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm)

-H1: between the values of tensile fabric there is significant differences depending on fiber composition, tensile strength of warp yarns $Pr_{warp}(N)$ and technological weft density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm)

2.5. Hypothesis confirmation over errors 2.5.1. $M(\varepsilon) = 0$ (errors mean is nule) Hypothesis: \succ Ho: M (ε) = 0

> H1: M (ϵ) $\neq 0$

The Student t-test t for error (Unstandardized Residual) evaluation is applied as shown in table

		Test Value = 0						
	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confid D	ence Interval of the ifference		
					Lower	Upper		
Unstandardized Residual	0,000	63	1,000	-2,1094237E-15	-1,3212957	1,3212957		

Table 4	4:	Student	t-test	for	testing	of	errors	mear
---------	----	---------	--------	-----	---------	----	--------	------

(Sig.= 1 > 0.05), so hypothesis H₀ is accepted, errors mean is zero.

2.5.2. V (ϵi) = σ^2 homoscedasticity hypothesis)

A non-parametric correlation test is applied between the estimated errors and the dependent variable (D_1, D_2, D_3) . The correlation coefficient Spearman is calculated and the Student t-test for this coefficient is performed. The results are indicated in table 5.

Hypothesis:

4.

- H₀: the correlation coefficient is insignificantly larger than zero (the null hypothesis of the Student t-test is accepted);
- H₁: the correlation coefficient is significantly larger than zero (the null hypothesis of the Student t-test is rejected).

The values of sig. for the correlations D_1 – estimated errors (0,840), D_2 – estimated errors (0,976), D_3 – estimated errors (0,355), D_{weft} (yarns/10cm) – estimated errors (0,091), Prwarp (N) – estimated errors (0,114) are bigger than 0.05, which means that the null hypothesis of the Student test is rejected, so the model is homoscedastic (see Table5).

2.5.3. $\epsilon i \sim N(0, \sigma 2)$ – normality hypothesis

Testing the normality of error distribution is done with the nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (see Table 5).

	71	1 0
		Unstandardized Residual
Ν		64
Normal Parameters	Mean	-1,9790605E-09
	Std. Deviation	5,2895718
Most Extreme	Absolute	0,051
Differences	Positive	0,039
	Negative	-0,051
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		0,406
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		0,997

Table 5: Testing of the normality hypothesis. One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

a Test distribution is Normal.

b Calculated from data.



ANNALS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA FASCICLE OF TEXTILES, LEATHERWORK

			D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D_{weft}	\Pr_{warp}	Unstandardized Residual
Spearman 's rho	D ₁	Correlation Coefficient	1,000	-0,202	-0,132	0,389	0,403	-0,026
		Sig. (2- tailed)	0,000	0,109	0,297	0,001	0,001	0,840
		N	64	64	64	64	64	64
	D ₂	Correlation Coefficient	-0,202	1,000	-0,218	-0,750	-0,751	-0,004
		Sig. (2- tailed)	0,109	0,000	0,083	0,000	0,000	0,976
		N	64	64	64	64	64	64
	D ₃	Correlation Coefficient	-0,132	-0,218	1,000	0,573	0,561	0,118
		Sig. (2- tailed)	0,297	0,083	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,355
		Ν	64	64	64	64	64	64
	D _{weft} (yarns/	Correlation Coefficient	0,389	-0,750	0,573	1,000	0,975	0,213
	10cm)	Sig. (2- tailed)	0,001	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,091
		N	64	64	64	64	64	64
	Pr _{warp} (N)	Correlation Coefficient	0,403	-0,751	0,561	0,975	1,000	0,199
		Sig. (2- tailed)	0,001	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,114
		N	64	64	64	64	64	64
	Unstandardi zed	Correlation Coefficient	-0,026	-0,004	0,118	0,213	0,199	1,000
	Residual	Sig. (2- tailed)	0,840	0,976	0,355	0,091	0,114	0,000
		N	64	64	64	64	64	64

Table 6: Spearman test for verifying the homoscedasticity hypothesis

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The Sig = 0,997 (higher than 0,05), therefore the normality hypothesis H₀ is accepted.

2.5.4. cov (ɛi, ɛi) – testing of errors autocorrelation

Hypothesis:

- H_0 : $\rho = 0$ (the errors are not auto-correlated);

- H_1 : $\rho \neq 0$ (the errors are auto-correlated).

The verification is done with the Durbin Watson test and the results are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Durbin	Watson test fo	r errors auto-c	orrelated testing
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------

	5
Model	Summarv

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	0,989	0,979	0,977	5,51	1,649

a Predictors: (Constant), Pr_{warp}(N), D₁, D₂, D₃, D_{weff}(yarns/10cm)

b Dependent Variable: Pr_{fabric}(daN)

The value of 1.649 is compared with the calculated value of test (dl, du). It has been observed that the obtained value is contained in the (du, 4 - du) interval. Therefore, the nule hypothesis is accepted (the recorded errors are auto-correlated).

2.6. Testing collinearity of independent variables

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	38,890	12,651		3,074	0,003		
	D ₁	18,660	5,024	0,160	3,714	0,000	0,193	5,177
	D ₂	-17,705	4,697	-0,211	-3,769	0,000	0,115	8,712
	D ₃	73,901	10,835	0,673	6,820	0,000	0,037	27,041
	D _{weft}	2,414E-02	0,070	0,044	0,344	0,732	0,022	45,113
	(yarns/10cm)							
	$Pr_{warp}(N)$	3,207	1,436	0,203	2,233	0,029	0,043	23,016

 Table 8: Testing collinearity of independent variables
 Coefficients

a Dependent Variable: Pr_{fabric}(daN)

The indicator VIF has high value ranging between (5.177, 45.113), which indicates that there is collinearity between the independent variables used in the model.

3. CONCLUSIONS

a) The ANCOVA model permits us to evaluate the homogeneous character of a population by separating and testing of the effects caused by the considered factors.

b) If the test data obtained after trials on the elements of a sample taken from one homogeneous population are divided in distinct groups, then the mean values of the groups do not differ significantly between themselves.

c) In the case of a non-homogeneous population, the deviations of the individual values as compare to the mean value are not anymore accidental and when dividing the test data in distinct groups the mean values are differ between themselves significantly because of some causes having a systematic action.

d) ANCOVA model included as independent variables, both dummy or alternative variables (fiber composition) and numerical variables (tensile strength of warp and weft yarn density technology) and as the dependent variable, tensile strength woven fabrics.

e) By applying and interpreting regression model ANCOVA sig value can conclude that there are significant differences between the variance of tensile strength of woven fabrics depending on fiber composition, tensile strength of warp yarns Pr_{warp} (N) (the null hypothesis Ho is rejected).

f) Technological weft density D_{weft} (yarns/10cm) does not significantly influence the tensile strength variation of woollen woven fabrics.

REFERENCES

[1] Hristian L., Bordeianu D. L. and Lupu I. G., "*The quantitative and qualitative analysis of woven fabrics type wool surface characteristic using Anova model*", Annals of the university of Oradea Fascicle of Textiles, Leatherwork, vol. VIX, 2013, No. 2, pp. 50-56.

[2] Hristian L., "Substantion and Elaboration of a New Testing Methodology of Woven Materials Surface Characteristics with Classical Testing Methods", Ph.D. Thesis, "Gheorghe Asachi" Technical University, Jassy, 2008.

[3] Hristian L., Bordeianu D. L. and. Lupu I. G, "*The quantitative and qualitative analysis of woven fabrics type wool surface characteristics using Anova model*", Annals of the University of Oradea, Fascicle of Textiles, Leatherwork, vol. VIX, 2013, No. 2, pp. 50-56.

[4] Hristian L., Lupu I. G. and Cramariuc O., "*Employing the ANOVA model for the differentiation of wool type woven materials based on their handle properties*", International Scientific Conference 19-20 November, Gabrovo, Bulgaria, 2010, vol. II,

[5] Neculaiasa M. S., Hristian L., "Textile metrology," vol. I, Ed. Performantica, 2004, Iasi.

[6] Standards SR EN ISO 13934-1:2002-Textiles-Tensile properties of fabrics-Determination of maximum force and elongation at maximum force using the strip method.